Structure-based identification of novel KNa1.1 inhibitors: a stratified target for *KCNT1*-related epilepsies



Bethan A. Cole¹, Rachel M. Johnson^{1,2}, Stephen P. Muench^{1,2}, & Jonathan D. Lippiat¹ ¹School of Biomedical Sciences, ²Astbury Centre for Structural Molecular Biology, University of Leeds. UK.

Background

- Missense, heterozygous, gain-of-function mutations of KNa1.1, a Na⁺-activated K⁺ channel encoded by *KCNT1* are associated with severe, pharmacoresistant epilepsies in children that are accompanied by psychomotor and intellectual disabilities. Malignant migrating partial seizures in infancy (MMPSI) and autosomal-dominant nocturnal frontal lobe epilepsy (ADNFLE) are two examples, but a number of other early-onset epileptic encephalopathies (EOEE) have also been identified [1,2,3]. There is a wide phenotypic spectrum associated with KCNT1 mutations, and no specific inhibitors acting on the channel, making both prediction of disease outcome and treatment difficult [4]. The channel is widely distributed in the nervous system, and is thought to be involved in generation of the slow afterhyperpolarisation following a single action potential or trains of action potential firing [5].
- There are currently three known inhibitors of the channel: the antiarrhythmic drugs quinidine, bepridil, and clofilium [6,7]. All are non-selective and inhibit cardiac K⁺ and Na⁺ channels. Clinically, quinidine has had poor results, likely due to its non-selectivity and lack of potency. It is therefore important to develop a novel, selective inhibitor of KNa1.1.
- The structure of the chicken KNa1.1 channel was recently resolved using cryo-EM [8]. We hypothesised that this structure could be used to identify novel inhibitors in silico by docking a library of compounds into the pore-forming region of the channel. We have identified six novel compounds, all of which are more potent inhibitors of KNa1.1



than quinidine in vitro.

2. Methods

• Molecular docking was conducted using the cryo-EM structure of chicken KNa1.1, using SwissDock and GLIDE. Firstly, quinidine and bepridil were docked into the pore domain to identify potential binding sites, which were then used for virtual high-throughput screening using a Chembridge library of 100,000 commercially-available compounds. 17 high-scoring compounds were selected, ordered, and dissolved in DMSO (10 mM).

HEK293-MSR cells were transiently transfected with wild-type (WT) or mutant human KNa1.1 constructs, or hERG.

Currents were recorded by whole-cell patch clamp electrophysiology, using both voltage pulse and ramp protocols. Physiological solutions were used, with 10 mM Na⁺ included in the pipette (intracellular) solution for KNa1.1 recordings. Compound stock solutions were diluted with extracellular solution, and perfused onto cells serially or in increasing concentrations. Concentration-response curves were fitted using a Hill function, from which IC₅₀ values could be derived.

Cell viability was assessed using a WST-1 assay of non-transfected (NT) HEK293 cells cultured in 96-well plates and measuring absorbance at 450 nm. Cell viability was determined using the equation: % cell viability = $((A_{450}A_{650}) \text{ experiment well}/(A_{450}A_{650}) \text{ control well}) \times 100.$





Figure 2: A Representative currents from voltage ramps from cells expressing WT or mutant KNa1.1 with increasing concentrations of quinidine as indicated. **B** Concentration-inhibition plots for wildtype and mutant KNa1.1 channels in response to 3μ M-1mM quinidine. IC50 for WT, 124.99 ± 34.52 μ M (n=5); F346I, 736.08 ± 94.09 µM (n=5); F346S, 1.23 ± 0.19 mM (n=4); M354I, 99.23 ± 49.61 (n=5); M354S, 247.16 ± 19.96 μM (n=5); Y796H, 38.00 ± 12.89 μM (n=5). **C** Concentration-inhibition plots for wildtype and mutant KNa1.1 channels in response to 0.3μ M-100 μ M bepridil. IC50 for WT, $6.36 \pm 2.12 \mu$ M (n=5); F346I, 35.91 ± 11.01µM (n=4); F346S, 23.43 ± 5.17 µM (n=5).



Figure 3: Functional evaluation of top-scoring molecules from in silico docking. A WT KNa1.1 conductance, relative to baseline, in the presence of 10 µM test compound; with those that were active (right of dashed line) counter-tested with F346S KNa1.1 pore mutant (*p<0.05, **p<0.005, ***p<0.0005, T-test.). **B** Representative traces and **C** mean (± s.e.m., n= 5 to 7) concentration-inhibition plots for active inhibitors. **D** Summary table with mean potencies of inhibitors, including quinidine and bepridil.



Figure 4: Preliminary toxicological data. A Representative hERG wholecell currents in the absence (control) and presence of 10 µM inhibitor, as indicated. **B** Mean (± s.e.m., n=3) tail current at -50 mV remaining in the presence of each inhibitor. C Cytotoxicity assays indicating mean (± s.e.m., n=3) viability of HEK 293 cells using WST-1 reagent following overnight exposure to inhibitor at the indicated concentrations, with 5 μ M blasticidin and 10% v/v DMSO as positive control; *p<0.05, **p<0.005, ***p<0.0005, independent one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test.

4. Conclusions

- A phenylalanine residue, F346, in the pore-forming region of KNa1.1 is important for inhibition of the channel by quinidine and bepridil.
- Epilepsy-causing mutation Y796H increases quinidine sensitivity of the channel.
- The structure of the chicken KNa1.1 channel resolved by cryo-EM was successfully used to identify novel inhibitors of the channel using computer-aided methods.
- Reduced efficacy of the six compounds with F346S suggests they are specifically inhibiting the pore-forming region of KNa1.1.
- These are potential tool compounds or novel starting points for developing KNa1.1-specific inhibitors, though some may have toxic effects.

5. References/ acknowledgements

- Barcia et al (2012), Nat. Genet. 44 (11) 1255-9;
- Heron et al (2012), Nat. Genet. 44 (11) 1188-90;
- Lim et al (2016), J. Med. Genet. 53 (4), 217-25;
- Milligan et al (2014), Ann. Neurol. 75 (4) 581-90;
- Bhattacharjee et al (2003), J. Neurosci. 23 (37), 11681-91; 5.
- Yang et al (2006) Neuropharmacology. 51 (4) 896-906; 6.
- Rizzo et al (2016) Mol. Cell. Neurosci. 72, 54-63;
- 8. Hite et al (2015) Nature. 527 (7577), 198-203.

We thank the BBSRC, Wellcome Trust, and Autifony Therapeutics for support.